



UNITED STATES DEARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	IVENTOR	ATT	ORNEY DOCKET NO.	
	09/148,474	. 0 9 /08/9	8 TAKASU	_	E		
Г				7 [EXA	EXAMINER	
			TM02/0625	•		_	
	FITZPATRIC	ж cella на	RPER & SCINTO		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
30 ROCKEFELLER PLA: NEW YORK NY 10112-:				_		9	
	NEW YURK N	44 Iniix-De	50 I		DATE MAILED:	·	
						06/25/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary	09/148,474	TAKASU ET AL.						
·	Examiner	Art Unit						
	CESAR B PAULA	2176						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 A	<u> April 2001</u> .							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claims are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).								
Attachment(s)								
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 20) Other:								



Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on 4/16/2001.

 This action is made final.
- 2. Claims 1-31 are pending in the case. Claims 1, 10, 14, 26, and 30-31 are independent claims.
- 3. The rejection of claims 1-2, and 4-31 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by over Mosher, MS Exchange Users Handbook (3/1/1997) have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.
- 4. The rejections of claims 3, and 16 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mosher have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Priority

5. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), and based on application # 9-243,991 filed in Japan on 9/9/1997, which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Specification

6. Appropriate corrections have been made to the abstract, and the title of the invention, therefore their objections have been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Appropriate explanation of the specification, and corrections have been made to the claims, therefore the objection to the specification has been withdrawn.

Application/Control Number: 09/148,474 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mosher in view of Forcier (Pat. # 5,590,257, 12/31/96).

Regarding independent claim 1, Mosher discloses: storing a received mail document -"You can save messages" (p.1). Mosher fails to explicitly teach text data and ink data
when the mail is reproduced. However, Forcier teaches: "enable a user to continually enter data
into a document....Input to the script/text processor can take many forms: writing with a

pen...documents from character (keyboard) based processors" (col. 3, lines 17-67, and col. 4,
lines 1-67). The script taught above refers to the ink data and the text to the text data. It would
have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have
combined the email system of Mosher, and the inputting of ink (script)/ASCII (text) editing
system of Forcier, because Forcier teaches above the entering of data into a textual document
without having to perform explicit actions to acquire additional blank spaces.

Moreover, Mosher discloses: deriving a shift amount of an output position -- "Figure 12.9 When you reply to a message....indenting the message text and including header" (p.4, and 7). Mosher fails to explicitly teach deriving a shift amount of an output position of the ink

Art Unit: 2176

image and outputting the text image with the inserted character string. However, Forcier teaches: "performing word editing functions such as word wrap while maintaining the user's word spacing" (col. 3, lines 3-67, and col. 4, lines 1-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined shifting of email messages by Mosher, and ink/ASCII editing system to shift ink-based characters by the amount of text entered at an output or insertion position of the characters while maintaining spacing of pre-existing characters as taught by Forcier, because Forcier teaches above the entering of data into a textual document without having to perform explicit actions to acquire additional blank spaces.

Regarding claim 2, which depends on claim 1, Mosher discloses: "Figure 12.9 When you reply to a message....indenting the message text and including header" (p.4). Mosher fails to explicitly teach the ink data comprises locus information to define the output position by coordinate values. However, Forcier teaches: "performing word editing functions such as word wrap while maintaining the user's word spacing" (col. 3, lines 3-67, and col. 4, lines 1-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined shifting of email messages by Mosher, and the locus information of ink/ASCII editing system by word-wrapping/shifting (locus x-v placement of ink data), and maintaining the pre-existing word spacing in the characters as taught by Forcier, because Forcier teaches above the entering of data into a textual document without having to perform explicit actions to acquire additional blank spaces.

Regarding claim 3, which depends on claim 1, Mosher discloses: "...how much text of the incoming message to quote" (p.3). Mosher fails to explicitly disclose:said character string to be inserted is a quotation symbol. However, it would have been obvious to a person of

Art Unit: 2176

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the quotation symbol, because Mosher teaches above, quoting text in a reply email message.

Regarding claim 4, which depends on claim 1, Mosher discloses: "...how much text of the incoming message to quote" (p.3). Mosher fails to explicitly teach said character string to be inserted is an inserting comment text. However, Forcier teaches: "performing word editing functions such as word wrap while maintaining the user's word spacing", and "Typical users of the invention would be people who want to jot down notes with/without drawings" (col. 3, lines 3-67, and col. 5, lines 27-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined shifting of email messages by Mosher, and the jotting notes with ink/ASCII editing system to maintain word spacing taught by Forcier, because Forcier teaches above the entering of data into a textual document without having to perform explicit actions to acquire additional blank spaces, and quickly annotating a document.

Claims 5-9 are directed towards a method for implementing the steps found in claim 1, 1, 6, and are similarly rejected.

Claims 10-11 are directed towards a method for implementing the steps found in claims 1-2, and 1 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Claims 12-15, 17-22 are directed towards an information processing apparatus for implementing the steps found in claims (1-2), 2, and 1-2, and 4-9 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Claim 16 is directed towards an information processing apparatus for implementing the steps found in claim 3, and is similarly rejected.

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claim 23, which depends on claim 14, Mosher discloses: *output means is an inkjet printer*— (p.9). Mosher teaches use of a print file icon to print the email in a printer such as an inkjet printer.

Claims 24-29 are directed towards an information processing apparatus for implementing the steps found in claims 23, 1, 10-13 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Claims 30-31 are directed towards a storage medium for storing instructions for implementing the steps found in claims 1, and 10 respectively, and are similarly rejected.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 10, 14, 26, 30-31 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The Applicants submit that: "nothing in Mosher would teach or suggest an information processing method including the steps of storing a received mail document including text data and ink data" (p.14, lines 25-27-p.15). The Applicants are directed towards the newly crafted rejections above as necessitated by this amendment.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/148,474

Art Unit: 2176

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- I. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. FORCIER (Pat. # 5,953,735, AND 5,220,649), and HAJIME (Pat. # JP 363052265).
- II. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cesar B. Paula whose telephone number is (703) 306-5543. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon, can be reached on (703) 308-5186. However, in such a case, please allow at least one business day.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Any response to this Action should be mailed to:

Director United States Patent and Trademark Office Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2176

• (703) 308-9051, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

• (703) 308-6606, (for informal or draft communications for discussion only, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

CBP

06/19/01

MEATHER R. HERNDON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100